













| DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP) | | At what stage is this document in the process? |
|---|---|--|
| <h1>DCP 458:</h1> <h2>Amend DCP414 process</h2> <p>Date Raised: 17 July 2025</p> <p>Proposer Name: Peter Waymont</p> <p>Company Name: Eastern Power Networks</p> <p>Party Category: DNO</p> | | 01 – Change Proposal |
| | | 02 – Consultation |
| | | 03 – Change Report |
| | | 04 – Change Declaration |
| <p>Purpose of Change Proposal:</p> <p>To apply a default capacity of 71kVA following the 12 months non-applicability of capacity charging arising from DCP414</p> | | |
|  | <p>Governance:</p> <p>The Proposer recommends that this Change Proposal should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treated as a Part 2 Matter • Treated as a Urgent Change • Progressed to the Working Group phase <p>The Panel will consider the proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p> | |
|  | <p>Impacted Parties:</p> <p>Suppliers/DNOs/IDNOs</p> | |
|  | <p>Impacted Clauses:</p> <p>Clause 19, Schedule 16</p> | |

| Contents | |  Any questions? | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Summary | 3 | Contact: |
| 2 | Governance | 4 | Code Administrator |
| 3 | Why Change? | 4 |  DCUSA@electralink.co.uk |
| 4 | Solution and Legal Text | 4 |  020 7432 3011 |
| 5 | Code Specific Matters | 5 | Proposer: |
| 6 | Relevant Objectives | 5 | Peter Waymont |
| 7 | Impacts & Other Considerations | 6 |  |
| 8 | Implementation | 7 | peter.waymont@ukpowernetworks.co.uk |
| 9 | Recommendations | 7 |  |
| Indicative Timeline | | | |
| The Secretariat recommends the following timetable: | | | |
| Initial Assessment Report | | |  email address. |
| Consultation Issued to Industry Participants | August 2025 | |  telephone |
| Change Report Approved by Panel | 17 September 2025 | | Other: |
| Change Report issued for Voting | 18 September 2025 | | Insert name |
| Party Voting Closes | 09 October 2025 | |  email address. |
| Change Declaration Issued to Parties | 13 October 2025 | |  telephone |

1 Summary

What?

- 1.1 DCP414 introduced a process to manage P432 – the migration of CT metered customers to HH settlement. The process commences in April 2024 and has these steps;
 - 1.1.1 Distributor gives supplier contact details
 - 1.1.2 Supplier advises customer of process
 - 1.1.3 Supplier give distributor their customer contact details
 - 1.1.4 Supplier changes measurement class of customer
 - 1.1.5 Distributor applies new tariff with no capacity charge
 - 1.1.6 12-15 months later distributor contacts customer to agree a MIC
- 1.2 In practice, we set up a new mailbox and advised suppliers of this on 5 April 2024.
- 1.3 We have seen virtually no customer contact details and indeed there has been some controversy with the method of communicating those (including use of SDEP).

Why?

- 1.4 We have, since April 2024, in good faith, applied the no-capacity charge to customers whose measurement class has been changed but have no means of contacting them now that the 12-month period is elapsed. There is also the risk that customers don't respond when contacted.
- 1.5 Our expectation is that we will end up applying the highest demand in most cases. However, this requires analysis of the data for each customer.

How?

- 1.6 We therefore propose that a default capacity should be applied in all cases after the one year. CTs are usually installed on sites over 69kVA and so we propose that a default of 71kVA is used (being a relatively unused value such that defaults can be more easily identified, where Distributors are unable to classify them as such, and being a reasonable representation of sites that have CT metering and are not already in Measurement Class E).
- 1.7 This ensures the one year transitional protection, while making the end to end process simple and easily understood, without removing the customer's ability to propose a change to the MIC at any time.

2 Governance

Justification for Part 1 and Part 2 Matter

2.1 It is urgent because the time to contact customers is upon us and we are unable to follow the expected process.

Requested Next Steps

2.2 This Change Proposal should:

- Be treated as a Part 2 Matter;
- Be treated as a Urgent Change; and
- Proceed to the Working Group phase.

3 Why Change?

3.1 The DCP414 process is unwieldy and unworkable. A simpler solution is required. This proposal does not restrict the customers ability to contact the Distributor to agree a capacity.

Part B: Code Specific Details

4 Solution and Legal Text

Legal Text

4.1 Amend Clause 19 as follows;

Transitional Protection for Customers affected by BSC Modification P432 or MHHS

19.12 Part 4 of the CDCM contains transitional protection for Customers who may be affected by the implementation of BSC modification P432 or any other CT Metering Points catered for by MHHS. All DNO/IDNO Parties shall comply with Part 4 of the CDCM, including a DNO Party operating outside of its Distribution Services Area.

19.13 The User shall initiate the transition for all Customers covered under Clause 19.12. The Company shall conclude the transition for each Customer by allocating them to the correct site-specific tariff under the CDCM such that a Maximum Import Capacity is required (except for those domestic Customers who opt for an aggregated tariff under paragraph 132D of the CDCM).

19.14 The Company shall provide contact details to the User relating to agreeing the Maximum Import Capacity. ~~The User shall confirm to the Company the contact details of all the Customers impacted by P432, or any other CT Metering Points catered for by MHHS, used in providing the information to the Customer under Clause 19.15.~~

19.15 Prior to each Customer's transition, the User shall (as a minimum) provide the Customer with the following information:

19.15.1 site address information, including MPAN and Meter Serial Number(s);

19.15.2 reason for the correspondence;

19.15.3 expected migration date;

19.15.4 the Company's contact details (as provided by the Company under Clause 19.14); and

19.15.5 explanation of the process, including ~~the use of a default capacity of 71kVA for Use Of System Charges and the Company's contact details for Company's default assessment which may require~~ the Customer to ~~agree~~ propose a Maximum Import Capacity.

~~19.16 When the assessment under Part 4 of the CDCM has been completed, the Company shall inform the Customer, using the contact details provided under Clause 19.14 of the rights the Customer has under the National Terms of Connection.~~

4.2 Amend Schedule 16 as follows;

179 This Part 4 sets out the transitional protection for Customers who may be affected by BSC Modification P432, being Customers with CT metering which are required to become half-hourly settled by migrating to Measurement Class C or E, or any other CT Metering Points catered for by MHHS which are migrating to become half-hourly settled on a site-specific basis.

180 This Part 4 forms part of the CDCM, but also applies to IDNO Parties and to DNO Parties acting outside of their distribution services area.

181 Subject to paragraph 183 below, where:

- a. a Customer takes a supply of electricity at a Premises where the electricity conveyed to the Premises is recorded through a CT meter; and
- b. the Metering Point for such Premises has been migrated to either Measurement Class C or E for P432 or required to become half-hourly settled for MHHS,

then, following the date of the migration of the Premises, the Domestic Aggregated or CT tariff, or the Non-Domestic Aggregated or CT tariff as applicable shall be applied.

182 ~~Within 6 months of~~ Following the period of 12 months from the date of the first migration of a Premises, the LV Site Specific Band 1 tariff shall be applied and the DNO/IDNO Party shall ~~reasonably assess the capacity based on metered data and agree with the customer an appropriate~~ apply a default MIC of 71kVA. ~~In the event the DNO/IDNO and customer are unable to agree a MIC, it will be set on the highest peaked import capacity and the customer shall be informed of the new MIC (in accordance with the NTC notice provisions).~~

Text Commentary

4.3 The legal text applies the outcome as per the proposal.

5 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

5.1 N/A

6 Relevant Objectives

| DCUSA General Objectives | | Identified impact |
|---|--|-------------------|
| Please tick the relevant boxes. (See Guidance Note 9) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks | None |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity | None |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences | None |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA | None |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 5. Compliance with the EU Internal Market Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. | Positive |

6.1 It introduces a solution that can be applied equally, removes the need for discussion of methods for Suppliers providing customer data and makes the Distributor's process manageable.

7 Impacts & Other Considerations

7.1 None.

Does this Change Proposal impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

7.2 No.

Does this Change Proposal Impact Other Codes?

BSC..... ☐ MRA..... ☐
 CUSC..... ☐ SEC..... ☐
 Grid Code..... ☐ REC..... ☐
 Distribution Code.. ☐ None..... ☒

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

7.3 No.

Confidentiality

7.4 None.

8 Implementation

Proposed Implementation Date

8.1 Extra release 2 weeks after approval.

9 Recommendations

The Code Administrator will provide a summary of any recommendations/determinations provided by the Panel in considering the initial Change Proposal. This will form part of a Final Change Report.